Interview Summary	Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)	
	10/796,768		KELLERMAN, DALLAS WAYNE	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Hien D. Vu		2833	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):			
(1) Mr. Hien D. Vu.	(3)			
(2) Mr. Michael Godar.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 16 December 2004.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)∏ applicant	's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 15</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: Robinson et al.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not re	eached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: <u>discussed and agreed 15 to more clearly set forth the intended concept and morplace the case in condition for allowance</u> . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amerallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE	upon changes to re distinctly defin ndments which to copy of the amount	o clarify the clair ne over the prior he examiner ag endments that w	ned invention of rart of record the reed would render rould render the	claims 1 and reby to er the claims claims
INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, O FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMEN Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse	he last Office ac R THE MAILING T OF THE SUBS	tion has already B DATE OF THIS STANCE OF TH	been filed, APP S INTERVIEW S	LICANT IS UMMARY
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	1		ature, if required	·····
rational to a signed Office action.	•	LAGITITIO 3 SIGIT	ature, ii required	